

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/087,084 03/01/2002 Timothy E. Mautz 5681-02600 1985 05/18/2004 EXAMINER B. Noel Kivlin DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE Conley, Rose, & Tayon, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P.O. Box 398 Austin, TX 78767 3611

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/087,084	MAUTZ, TIMOTHY E.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cassandra Davis	3611		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2004</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)	,			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7,9,11-38 and 40-50</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)[🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-26</u> is/are allowed.			
·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Amarkananya)				
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Detayland Trydomark Office				

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 11, 12, 27-38, and 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kump, U. S. Patent 6,341,755.
- 3. Kump teaches an adapted **C** mounted to the top surface of a shelf, wherein the shelf has a plurality of apertures. The adapted comprises an elongated body 152, a plurality of legs 112, 116 extending from the body, at least one retaining foot 136 coupled to the an end of the leg, and a shoulder ledge 140 proximate an end of the leg. The adapted is made from a resiliently yielding plastic. (Column 4, line 3-4). The ledge 140 are adapted to fit the shelf aperture snuggle, gripping the periphery of the aperture. (Column 7, line 7-9 and figures 10-11). Kump also teaches a portion of the adapted such as 50 or 150 spaced from the shelf. (Figure 5) The examiner contends that this space allows for airflow between the label-mounting portion 50 or 150 and the shelf or component mounted on the shelf.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,084

Art Unit: 3611

With respect to claim 7, 31-33, 42, the distal edge of the member 140 corresponds to the ledge and the remainder of the member 140 corresponds to the stiffening member.

With respect to claim 9, 34, 43, Kump teaches the member or foot 136 having a camming surface 40 and a rounded lower end.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 13-16 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach a label holder as claimed in combination with a computer system component.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 27, 38, 47, 48, and 50 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Since the applicant is not positively claiming the holder in combination with the computer component, Kump anticipates the claims drawn to only the holder.

The examiner will consider favorably limitation drawn to the shoulder and foot being configured such that the elongated body is spaced from the surface of the computer component.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD May 13, 2004